



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/878,047	06/07/2001	Clinton L. Ballard	BA1.P26	1636
21450	7590	10/12/2005	EXAMINER	
STEVEN P. KODA KODA LAW OFFICE 19689 - 7TH AVE NE NO. 307 POULSBO, WA 98370			TIV, BACKHEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/878,047	BALLARD, CLINTON L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Backhean Tiv	2151	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Backhean Tiv.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

 (3) Steven Koda.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10/6/05
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**


- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*none*
**Claims discussed:**
*Claim 11*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted STEVEN Koda concerning 112 2nd paragraph issues, lack of antecedent basis in claim 11. On line 12, 21, 27, and 30 there is a lack of antecedent basis for the limitation of "the forwarding server", and on lines 18-19, 22-23, 28, 31, there is a lack of antecedent basis for the limitation of, "the destination address". Since the application has been issued, the examiner suggested the applicant file a Certificate of Correction. The applicant agrees that the limitations does lack antecedent basis. The examiner suggests the applicant amends, "a forwarding computer" to "a forwarding server". And amend "the destination address" to "a destination address" on line 18. .